working for clean rivers





ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CITY OF PORTLAND Green Asset Management Sightline Institute Presentation – 11/14/13 Portland Bureau of Environmental Services

BES Green Asset Management Working Group

- Heidi Berg Eng. Services, Stormwater Systems Program
- Nancy Hendrickson Watershed Services
- Michele Juon Eng. Services, Revegetation Program
- Henry Stevens Watershed Services
- Maggie Skenderian Watershed Services
- Naomi Tsurumi Watershed Services



Why We're Here Today

- Update colleagues on what Portland's Green Asset Management Working Group has done to date
- Share our findings and provide suggestions for next steps
- Exchange ideas



Setting Context

 BES got started with Asset Management as a budgeting and risk management tool in 2008

 Focus to date has mostly been on grey assets

 Training in February 2012 inspired Watershed Services staff to learn more and form a Working Group



Why Is Asset Management Important to Watershed Services?

- To identify and assess the condition of BES' Green Assets and make a case for Portland's Green Infrastructure
- To identify, Prioritize, Schedule and Budget maintenance activities for Green Assets and Infrastructure
- To explain the business case for what Watershed Services does
- To incorporate risks and benefits of Green Assets into BES decision making (e.g., CIP and nBCR)



Asset Management will provide us with a common currency for valuing BES' Green Assets





Green Infrastructure Inventory Steering Team (GIIST)

- Develop a vision for green asset data systems as part of an asset registry
- Expand awareness of existing green datasets
- Identify and prioritize improvements to datasets based on unmet current or future business needs (examples: Hansen, Watershed Revegetation Program Database.)



Green Asset Management Team (GAM)

- Identify challenges and opportunities for incorporating green assets into BES' AM program
- Develop a GAM framework to capture LOS and risk associated with green assets
- Research efforts by other utilities



- Build alliances with other BES AM teams
 - **Environmental Services**

The Nuts and Bolts

- Start with Inventory
- Assess the Condition
- Determine Probability of Failure
 - age, condition, estimated life
- Determine Consequence of Failure
 - lost services, replacement cost
- The Common Currency: PoF x CoF = BRE Risk x \$ = Risk \$



Inventory

GIIST

- Tier I: BES invested and owned
- Tier II: BES invested but not owned
- Tier III: Not invested or owned but rely upon
- How much detail is useful





Condition Curve for Grey Infrastructure





Condition Curve for Green Infrastructure



CoF: Valuing the Services of Grey Assets

Core BES Services

- Convey sanitary and stormwater
- Maintain public health and safety
- Provide an approvable discharge point

Additional Services

Facilitate development



CoF: Valuing the Services of Green Assets

Core BES Services

- Stormwater storage and conveyance
- Water Quality treatment
- Flood Storage

Additional Services

- Carbon sequestration
- Recreation / Open Spaces
- Increased property values



How to determine CoF?

Consequence of Failure - Wastewater											
Social/community/ organizational											
Loss of Service - Impact	Can be out of service indefinitely	Cannot be down a month	Cannot be down a week	Cannot be down 1 day	Cannot be down 8 hours	Cannot be down 1 hour					
Safety	No impact	Minor inconvenience	Minor injury	Moderate injury and some sickness	Major injury, sickness, some death	Subsrtantial death, widespread injury and sickness					
Agency Image	No media or no consequence Neutral coverage Ad		Adverse media	Widely adverse media	Continual; political opposition	National adverse media					
		Eco	onomic/Financial								
Economics, Hassle Factor	Low cost & low hassle	Low cost & high hassle	High cost, low hassle	High cost, high hassle & diverts \$	Painful change of priorities	Likely trigger rate Increase staff changes					
	2 2	E	Environmental	2 0	1	8					
Spill, Flood, Odor	Short duration, sm. dy. onsite: no complaints	Backups; small no. of complaints	Aggressive complaints and liability	Substantial liability, many impacted	Has not appened at this scale before	Sustained, lg. qty., offsite, many complaints					
Process & Effluent Quality	ss & Effluent Quality No impact: SS, BOD; MPN; Cake Routine adjustment		Significant corrective action	Significant adj. with uncertainty	Major process recovery with lag time and uncertainty	Loss of process control					
Permit compliance	No consequence	Violated daily standard	Violated weekly standard	Violated Monthly Standard	Damage reversible in six months	Permit jeopardized; damage reversible in 5 yrs or more					
Score	1	3	5	7	9	10					

IN SCREWE STORE THE REAL PROPERTY.	CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRA	Parton Religing Tale (212-21m) for Early								antipantan antara sa say			1 1 1		
							61.1 II			CONTRACTOR OF A		1 1	1	1	ا مدا مد ا
1.1 - 7.1 C 1.1	ee 11-11-1	an and public terms of the second sec	AT ANY ANY ANY ANY		a and a second	n internetien Internetien Internetien		parate the second							norma na casa ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana
					e Lenes - succes				Increased at 1		i an lan bandana	i nasi kasa an			and black black blacks and black black b
												\$			
	NAME OF TAXABLE PROPERTY.				A 498	12.6									
	A DOMESTICAL CONTRACTORS				A 448	10 M						l Ì			
	100 0 11 0 100 0 0 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		14 42 12 12 12			100 									
	MR.13. 2018										\$	\$\$		\$	
······	A THE REAL TRANSFER					114. S					\$				·····
	MR.404 (2010) 80040	i i i 🚛	R	i mi mi				····	44.						
						10.0									
	TANK MINET. STOREMEN.				1.00 1.00 1.00	10.8 10.8						l Ì			
	100 000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	. <u>.</u>				100									
	AND ALCOL MADE AND A										\$	\$\$		\$	
								···•		····· •	\$				
	AND ACTIVE ON THE OWNER										\$	\$\$		\$	
	Standard Statement Statements									·····					
						10.8 10.8							·····		
					0.00	10 A									
	ATRACATION AND A COMPANY							···•		····	\$				
											\$	\$\$\$		\$	
				- 000							\$	\$		\$	
			M 22												
						10.0									
	01000 0000 01000 01000 0000 10000 00000 0100 000000				A 448	10.8 10.8					, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	ļ.,			
	Statement School and	: <u>B</u> : :									\$	\$\$		\$	
	Alle Alle Aven Aven										\$	\$\$		\$	
······	ARRANGE, 2007008120861 ARRANGE, 2007008120861 ARRANGE, 2007008120861							···		····· •	\$	ģģ			
	100 200 . Store Book														
	ARCHINE MILLION CONTRACTOR OF				A 498	10.8 10.8					····	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
	TANK AND				A .008	10.8 10.8									
	AND TO A									····•					
										·····	\$				
	ATTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR				÷				- 20		\$	\$\$\$		\$	
	TAN ARMS STORES		M 22												
						10.0									
	TOTAL AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND				A 448	10.8 10.8					, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	ļ.,			
	NIR ALON. MARKE										\$	\$\$\$			
	A109.00.00 A109.00.00 A109.00.00 A109.00.00										\$			\$	
	ALE							····è····è····è·····		·····					
	TOWNER CONTRACTOR										••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	·····	•••••••	
	A DAN ANA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				A 498	10.8 10.8					····	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
					100	10.6									
	100 01					100 WA									
			8.1.2.1								\$	\$		ģ	
	ATHERTON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN										\$	\$\$\$	·····	\$	
	NUM DEPENDENT OF STREET				1.00	: 114 : 104					š	š		òòòòòòòòòò	
	OTHER CONTRACTOR					10.0 10.0									
	0 1000 0000 00000000000000000000000000					10.0									



		What are our assets? (R	egistry)		
		Asset Hierarchy			<u></u>
	LEVEL 1 (Infrastructure Category)	LEVEL 2 (Infrastruture Subcategory) Tier 1: BES Owns/Controls Tier 2: BES Direct Investment but no ownership/control Tier 3: BES Reles on but no investment, ownership/control	Level 3 (Project)	Level 4 (Can ponent)	
	Urban Trees				
		Trees in stormwater facilities (TIER 1 inventory as a component of facilities, above?)			
		Street trees (ROW) BES paid for (TIER 2)	All T2R 2009 street trees		
			3226 SE 12th Ave 1	Black Hawthorn	
			3226 SE 12th Ave 2	Black Hawthorn	
			3234 SE 13th Ave 1	Harvest Gold Linden	
			3234 SE 13th Ave 2	Japanese Snowbell	
			3234 SE 13th Ave 3	Japanese Snowbell	
			3622 SE 10th Ave 1	Black Hawthorn	
			3622 SE 10th Ave 2	Black Hawthorn	
			3767 SE 10th Ave 1	Royal Raindrops Crabapple	
			3767 SE 10th Ave 2	Royal Raindrops Crabapple	
			3607 SE 8th Ave	Japanese Stewartia	
			3768 SE 10TH	Henry Maple	
			3772 SE 9th Ave	Royal Raindrops Crabapple	
			3775 SE 10th Ave 1	Flame Maple	
			3775 SE 10th Ave 2	Flame Maple	
			1636 SE 25th Ave	Rocky Mtn. Glow Maple	
			1636 SE 25th Ave	Rocky Mtn. Glow Maple	
1			1636 SE 25th Ave	Rocky Mtn. Glow Maple	
1			1616 SE Woodward 1	Henry Maple	



				What condit in	ion are they 1?				
	Date Installed/ Purchased	Quantity	Unit	Purchase Cost	Current (Market?) Value	NEW: Full Value? (including Eco. Services)	Estimated Life Remaining (%)	Condition Rating	
	2009	149		\$37,846.00		\$11,771.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
TOR MARK OF THE STATE OF THE ST	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
Alternative Altern	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
0100 (001) 0100 0100 (001) 0100 000 (001) 000 000 (001) 000 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000 (001) 0000	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	
51/2	2009	1	1	\$254.00		\$79.00	87%	1	

]	note: values are per	-			
	"Disturbance Regulation"	"Habitat Refugium"	"Water Regulation"	"Waste Treatment"	
W astewater and stormwater are managed to protect public health and safet		Habitat is protected and enhanced	Services are performed to restore the hydrologic cycle	Water quality is protected and enhanced	
	\$2 384 00				
	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00				
	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00				
	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00				
	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00				

			/												
Additional services/benefits/values (from Earth Economics 23 Ecosystem Services Categories) that meet other City Goals (shaded columns are duplicates with BE\$ strategic Levels of Service)															
Note: for now	l've just used "	low" values from	Earth Econom	nics											
Gas Regulation	Carbon Sequestrat ion	Disturbance Prevention	Soil Retention/ Erosion Control	Water regulation	Water Supply	Biological Control	Water Quality/ Waste Treatment	Soil Formation	Nutrient Cycling	Pollination	Habitat and Biodiversity	Nursery/ Reproduction Habitat	Aesthetic Information	Recreation	Cultural & Artistic
	\$148.00									•			\$8,584.00		
	\$1.00		•										\$58.00		1
	\$1.00			•			••••••			•		•	\$58.00		
	\$1.00	•	•										\$58.00		
	\$1.00												\$58.00		
	\$1.00	•	•										\$58.00		
	\$1.00												\$58.00		1
	\$1.00	•	•										\$58.00		
	\$1.00									•			\$58.00		
	\$1.00	•											\$58.00		
	\$1.00												\$58.00		
	\$1.00	•											\$58.00		
	\$1.00												\$58.00		
	\$1.00												\$58.00		
	\$1.00									•			\$58.00		
	\$1.00												\$58.00		
	\$1.00									•			\$58.00		
	\$1.00												\$58.00		
	\$1.00												\$58.00		



	how to p	How critical/ prioritize investme	ents?	
	Probability of Failure	Consequence of Failure (includes EcoSvcs Benes)	BRE = PoF x CoF	
	3% 3%	\$2,384.00 \$16.00	\$349.35 \$2.37	
	3% 3% 3%	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00	\$2.37 \$2.37 \$2.37	
	3% 3% 3%	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00	\$2.37 \$2.37 \$2.37	
	3% 3% 3%	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00	\$2.37 \$2.37 \$2.37	
	3% 3% 3%	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00	\$2.37 \$2.37 \$2.37	
	3% 3% 3%	\$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00	\$2.37 \$2.37 \$2.37	
	3% 3%	\$16.00 \$16.00	\$2.37 \$2.37	

What Other Utilities Have To Say

- Based on review of studies, reports and papers, we're seeing that there are many approaches being used to value Green Assets.
- CWS: Marching to the beat of their own drummer> Water Quality Trading
- Seattle Public Utilities> Still searching for effective way to make a business case for hydrologic benefits of natural systems



 SF Public Utilities: Have a robust system for Grey Assets but don't yet know how to incorporate Green Assets

Summary

- There's a lot of boxes to fill in
- There's both too much information and not enough information out there
- We're not as far behind as we thought



Grey vs. Green AM





Environmental Services

Green Asset

Esterprise green Scommunitier

Recommendations

- We might consider 4 approaches:
 - 1. Work with other utilities in the area to create data and a communication plan along the lines of the CNT tool
 - 2. Continue to work on gathering relevant data and engage with other BES Asset Management work teams
 - 3. Take a fairly narrow approach to quantify an existing Green Asset
 - 4. Look at long term policy to fund and support green infrastructure, e.g. Healthy Watershed Districts

